1	
2	TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN
3	LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
4	x
5	BOARD MEETING
6	HELD BY ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE
7	x
8	September 15, 2021 9:22 a.m.
9	J. 22 a.m.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	* * *
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	MEMBERS:
5	FREDERICK C. BRAUN, III MARTIN CALLAHAN FELLY I CRUCCI ID
6	FELIX J. GRUCCI, JR. LENORE PAPROCKY
7	GARY POLLAKUSKY ANN-MARIE SCHEIDT
8	
9	ALSO PRESENT: LISA M.G. MULLIGAN, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
10	LORI J. LaPONTE, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER JAMES M. TULLO, DEPUTY DIRECTOR
11	JOCELYN LINSE, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT TERRI ALKON, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
12	AMY ILLARDO, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT ANNETTE EADERESTO, ESQ., AGENCY COUNSEL
13	
14	WEINBERG GROSS & PERGAMENT, LLP
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	* * *
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2	MR. BRAUN: Next we will move into the
3	regularly scheduled meeting of the Local
4	Development Corporation. It is Wednesday,
5	September 15th. It is 9:22. A quorum is
6	present.
7	Counselor?
8	MR. WEIR: This meeting is being
9	conducted electronically via Zoom pursuant to
10	Senate bill 50001 and Assembly bill 40001,
11	which amend the New York Open Meetings Law to
12	allow for public meetings to be conducted
13	electronically due to COVID.
14	MR. BRAUN: Next order of business,
15	approval of our minutes of our August 18th
16	meeting.
17	A motion, please.
18	MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved.
19	MR. CALLAHAN: Second.
20	MR. BRAUN: Any questions on those
21	minutes, clarifications?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. BRAUN: Hearing none, on the vote,
24	Mr. Callahan?
25	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

1	
2	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Paprocky?
3	MS. PAPROCKY: Yes.
4	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky?
5	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.
6	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
7	MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
8	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, the
9	motion carries, the minutes are approved.
10	Lori, I'll turn it back over to you.
11	MS. LaPONTE: Okay.
12	Included in your packet is actual
13	versus budget July 31st results. Lisa, I
14	don't know if you can share.
15	MS. MULLIGAN: I'm working on it.
16	MS. LaPONTE: That's okay.
17	So as Lisa is pulling it up, we're
18	doing the LDC, correct and it's Lori LaPonte,
19	I just want to confirm.
20	For the month of there we go. Okay,
21	thank you, Lisa.
22	So this is actual versus budget for the
23	month of July and I also include year-to-date

and I also include a comparison to a pro rata

budget, the seven months and I also

24

2	(inaudible) give you more information than
3	you'll ever need, the annual budget, so I like
4	to put everything out there so you can see
5	where the numbers are going.

So concentrating on the third column, the seven-month number, that's our year-to-date column. We've had no closings, the only thing we've had incomewise is our annual admin fees for the LDC projects and we've also had some small interest income on our investments.

Our insurance, part of that is an accrual because we just recently paid it, but we set up accrual year-end -- I mean yearly to make sure we're on target with it and our estimates are on target with what we got the bills from the risk manager.

Website costs are our monthly our website fees. Accounting fees, those are so some small fees for our audit; again, we're accruing them. Legal are -- a lot of the legal is not pure attorney cost, it's mostly transcriptions of all the meetings that has been necessary during COVID and some public

1	
2	notices and then some legal costs, so
3	year-to-date we're at a negative 15,000;
4	again, keeping in mind we have a fund balance
5	in the Local Development, so that is
6	reasonable expectation.
7	MR. GRUCCI: Lori, do you think we're
8	on track to
9	MS. LaPONTE: Fred, you're on mute.
10	Fred, you're on mute. I'm sorry, Felix.
11	MR. GRUCCI: That's okay.
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Sorry.
13	MR. BRAUN: Go ahead, Felix.
14	MR. GRUCCI: Lori, the amended budget
15	column, I assume that's what we approved to go
16	forward with for the year of 2021?
17	MS. LaPONTE: Yes, that was the amended
18	to take into account the cost sharing
19	agreement.
20	MR. GRUCCI: Right.
21	Do you think that we're on target to
22	meet or beat that number?
23	MS. LaPONTE: So far it looks like
24	we're going to be very close, if not be a

little bit better.

2	MS. MULLIGAN: Great. Thank you.
3	MR. BRAUN: Lori, do you just want to
4	mention the early discussions and estimated
5	fees for our annual audit?
6	MS. LaPONTE: Yes, yes.
7	I spoke with the firm PKF O'Connor
8	Davies and they I asked them for a fee, not
9	just one year because I figured why not
10	two-year and they gave us the same fee with
11	the two percent increase.
12	We have a mandatory partner rotation
13	and the partner that they're putting on for
14	one year I actually know and have worked with
15	him, so it's a nice relationship and the
16	people that we work with the audit are
17	retaining, so I was comfortable with that.
18	MR. BRAUN: I think the two percent
19	increase is wonderful; if we could get it for
20	five years, I'd sign up right now.
21	MR. CALLAHAN: Sounds good.
22	MR. BRAUN: All right. We need a
23	motion to accept Lori's report.
24	MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved.
25	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.

1	
2	Second?
3	MR. CALLAHAN: Second.
4	MR. BRAUN: Marty.
5	On the vote, Mr. Callahan?
6	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
7	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Paprocky?
8	MS. PAPROCKY: Yes.
9	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky?
10	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.
11	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
12	MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
13	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes; the
14	report is accepted.
15	Lisa?
16	MS. MULLIGAN: The next item on the
17	agenda is an update on from OSC.
18	Lori, do you want to just give a quick
19	overview or do you want me to?
20	MS. LaPONTE: Sure, I mean I'll start
21	and Lisa, please join because you were part of
22	it and so was Fred.
23	The three of us had an exit conference
24	call the other day with the OSC. They pointed

out there was a minor question about an admin

fee being billed to a project that we billed it out as one admin fee being that the project had two parts to it, but it closed so tightly, we had done one admin fee. Looking further, it should have been probably split out, but we're not talking — it's a thousand dollar difference. They're not even sure if they're going to put that in the report, but they told it to us, so I'm sharing it with you.

The other comment they have is oversight and monitoring of the project, its goals and Lisa, maybe you can start on some of that conversation.

MS. MULLIGAN: Well, the long and the short of it is that although they told us they're contemplating listing that as a "finding," the truth of it is, is that it's a best practice recommendation, they were very clear with us that we're following the law, we have not done anything — they didn't find anything other than the thousand dollar that we had done wrong and the — I think that — they were back and forth if they were going to put it in as a finding or as a best practice,

2	but I suspect it's probably going to go in as
3	a finding just because otherwise they don't
4	really have a report, but they told us flat
5	out we're following the law, we're doing
6	everything we're supposed to do and really
7	this is the best practice recommendation and
8	what they told us is that as they continue to
9	audit LDC's, if they find statewide that this
10	is a common practice that everyone's totally
11	following the law, that they might bring their
12	report to the State and say maybe you should
13	change the law so that they start doing this
14	best practice.
15	We're going to get the report, Lori,
16	they said in about 30 days and then we have 30
17	days to respond to it.
18	MR. BRAUN: I suspect it will be close
19	to year-end before this is finally wrapped up.
20	MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah.
21	MR. GRUCCI: Lisa, I'm sorry, I missed
22	what the issue was, I heard the thousand
23	dollars and the best practice, but what was it
24	that they were concerned was happening with

that thousand dollars?

۷	MS. MULLIGAN: Illat we didn t So
3	it's two separate things, that we didn't bill
4	for about a thousand dollars and so they told
5	us flat out that it's de minimus, an annual
6	compliance fee, but I think because they don't
7	really have anything to write us up about,
8	they might end up including that, although
9	they said quite clearly that it was de
10	minimus.
11	MS. LaPONTE: They might not write us
12	up on it.
13	MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah.
1 4	MS. LaPONTE: I feel like they're not
15	going to, but it's out there.
1 6	MS. MULLIGAN: And the other piece of
17	it was that they wanted our resolutions to
18	have more specificity and what's in the
1 9	resolution drives the track and so they
2 0	acknowledge that what we're doing follows the
21	law, but they think it would be a best
22	practice to have more specificity in our
23	resolutions, therefore, having us track
2 4	additional things, but that's a best practice.
25	MR. WEIR: The resolutions do refer

2	back to the application (inaudible).
3	MS. MULLIGAN: And we showed them the
4	point in the resolution, but they didn't feel
5	that it was specific enough.
6	MR. BRAUN: When you think who the LDC
7	projects are or which they are, hospitals,
8	we've done libraries, lifetime living, others
9	that serve the system and the spectrum. It's
10	almost as if they use a hospital, how do
11	you know that they use the money to buy the
12	equipment, set up the emergency room, set up
13	this particular practice and set up that
14	particular practice? I mean it really gets
15	into the weeds much more so than the law
16	requires and we can if they put that in the
17	report, we can respond in kind.
18	Any other questions?
19	MR. CALLAHAN: Yeah, Fred.
20	So all the other IDA's that got audited
21	from the
22	MS. LaPONTE: LDC.
23	MR. CALLAHAN: OSC. I'm sorry, LDC
24	for the OSC up in Albany, considering that was
25	very minor per se, how did the other IDA's do?

2	MR. WEIR: So one other LDC was
3	criticized for doing financings for two major
4	universities in their town because they were
5	"not major employers." They're the two
6	largest employers in the town, but they're not
7	major employers. I mean their level of
8	criticism defies belief is the only way I can
9	describe it.
10	MS. MULLIGAN: What they told us
11	because I asked what other IDA's, what they
12	had been finding and I'm sorry, LDC's
13	and what they told us was that we were the
14	second LDC that they had audited and so far on

Long Island, they hadn't really found anything. They did tell us that they're hearing there is more dramatic results coming from some LDC's upstate, but I don't think --from what they said to us, it didn't sound like it was our type of LDC, it sounded like they were LDC's, other types of LDC's.

> MR. WEIR: For example, Suffolk County -- almost every county in New York State created an LDC to finance the tobacco -when the tobacco money that they were

receiving a dozen years ago, 15 years ago, they wanted to get that money up front, so they created LDC's (inaudible) that payment stream, the tobacco companies basically issued bonds, got money up front and they paid those bonds off as the money comes due, so they could put money into local municipalities up front and it also, you know, value of money, it's better to have the money today than over 20 years, it's also to be honest with you took the bankruptcy risk of the tobacco companies out of -- put them on the County's books, so the bondholders took that risk.

LDC's have been created by municipalities all across New York State since the 1960's to do a whole range of different projects and there's thousands of them out there and they're just starting to look at them.

Why they chose this LDC and another one on Long Island who creates a tax-exempt bond financing I'm not sure, but they're certainly not going after, you know, LDC's that have been done to do a whole range of very large

2	2	municipal projects and they're done for
3	3	legitimate purposes. I'm not criticizing
4	1	LDC's, LDC's are an incredible tool for local
-	5	municipalities, but the State, the Office of
6	Ō	State Comptroller never had authority to audit
7	7	them until recently, so they were kind of were
8	3	out there under the radar and they're started
Ç)	looking at them, but why these two LDC's were
10)	chosen first, I don't know.

MS. LaPONTE: I wanted to mention that they mentioned one of the LDC's had been set up by a fire department or district to buy a fire truck upstate, so they already are seeing some interesting things.

MR. WEIR: Yeah and that's probably doable under the 1411 and there's a whole range of things that have been done. You know, fire districts (inaudible), you have a fire district and a fire company that under contract with the fire district and they're now creating LDC's.

Monroe County created some, how shall I say it, dubious LDC's that got into some little trouble. Created things like, you

2	know, to buy the equipment for 911 centers and
3	things of that nature. Got way they were
4	created for viable purposes and then got off
5	doing things that were beyond their mission
6	and then got in trouble.

7 MR. CALLAHAN: So I guess we're doing a good job.

MR. WEIR: Yes. You guys are doing a good job, you're keeping to your mission.

MR. GRUCCI: Lori, regarding the specificity of the resolutions, did they give you any kind of a model that they're looking for as far as content of the resolution?

MS. LaPONTE: No, they did not. They said that we're following exactly what the -- what the law requires us to say, our resolutions are written up that way exactly as the law is required to say and you know, we know that these LDC's are operating within their mission, we send out annual reports, we get job numbers from the projects even though we're not basing the applicability of the LDC tax-exempt bond financing on job numbers, so we do know these projects, we know them in the

2	area, we visited them, we speak with them
3	often, so, you know, they've given us no
4	guidance on what they want to see, but they
5	want to see something; correct, Lisa, Fred?
6	MR. BRAUN: Yes.
7	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.
8	MR. GRUCCI: If we're following the
9	letter of the law, sounds to me as if we're
10	getting tagged for following the law.
1	MS. PAPROCKY: Yeah.
12	MR. WEIR: They want to impose things
13	on the IDA that are not required by either
L 4	federal or state law including the Internal
15	Revenue code.
16	When it comes to issuing tax-exempt
L 7	bonds, we go by the federal Internal Revenue
L 8	code and the New York not-for-profit
L 9	corporation law. If they want some other
20	procedures that they recommend, they can make
21	that recommendation, but it's a
22	recommendation. So I think you guys are doing
23	an excellent job.
2.4	MR. BRAUN: Felix, we'll get a draft of
) 5	their report we'll get a change to comment on

2	that, then we'll get a final report and we'll
3	respond.
4	MR. GRUCCI: Okay.
5	MR. BRAUN: Got to be a little careful
6	as to how you respond, but we'll respond.
7	MS. LaPONTE: Because the response will
8	be combined with the report, so that will be
9	given to the public, so our response will be
10	carefully, succinctly put together and include
11	all items we want to point out and just as a
12	side note, I had asked them straight out, I
13	said so what if we find a project isn't doing
1 4	what they can; there's no recapture per se, I
15	don't know, Bill, I don't even know how you
16	MR. WEIR: Again
17	MS. LaPONTE: redeem tax-exempt
18	bonds.
19	MR. WEIR: If you've issued tax-exempt
2 0	bonds and they use the money inappropriately
21	or they do other things that violated the tax
22	code, they have to answer to the Internal
23	Revenue code Internal Revenue Service and
2 4	the bonds go taxable, they will be sued by the
25	bondholders, they will be sued by the Internal

2	Revenue Service and they could also, if the
3	bond was sold publicly in a public
4	transaction, they can be sued by the SEC.
5	They have far greater problems than recapture
6	because there's nothing to recapture.
7	MS. LaPONTE: That's what I'm saying.
8	If they don't follow
9	MR. WEIR: We would have a duty if we
10	knew that a project violated the Internal
11	Revenue code, as the issuer of the bonds, we
12	would have to report that to the IRS.
13	MS. LaPONTE: I'm not even sure how you
14	could monitor somebody violating the Internal
15	Revenue code.
16	MR. WEIR: You can't because you don't
17	know about it unless we had one situation
18	where a borrower did something with tax-exempt
19	bonds that they told us several years after
20	the fact and we had to correct it and we're
21	doing a voluntarily compliance program with
22	that borrower with the Internal Revenue
23	Service.
24	They had, let me say less than accurate
25	legal advice from their law firm who was

2	handling the sale of an asset, who did not
3	understand the tax code.
4	MR. GRUCCI: When we issue those
5	tax-exempt bonds, is there any kind of
6	reporting vehicle that the recipient needs to
7	provide us on any kind of an interim basis
8	MR. WEIR: They have to
9	MR. GRUCCI: as to what they're
10	doing with the money?
11	MR. WEIR: Well, yes. Well, they have
12	to give reports to the LDC and to the trustee,
13	they also have to do every five years a report
14	to the IRS, so they have, you know, myriad of
15	reporting requirements which are designed to
16	detect any problems really ahead of time.
17	We also I mean typically the
18	borrowers that we work with use very
19	sophisticated law firms to handle their stuff
20	so they avoid this. One situation we had,
21	they went to a more of a real estate
22	firm to handle what they thought was a routine
23	real estate issue and neither they nor their
24	counsel had recognized the ramifications of a
25	decision that was made. Had they talked to

2	and that was actually an IDA bond, but had
3	they talked to the IDA and us ahead of time,
4	it would have been very simple to structure
5	the project appropriately, but they had
6	MR. GRUCCI: I just want to follow up.
7	The reporting that they do specifically
8	to us, do we have an obligation to audit that
9	report or send inspectors out to verify that
10	their reports are indeed accurate?
11	MR. WEIR: We would really have no way
12	to do that. I mean that's the role of the
13	IRS, Felix.
14	MR. GRUCCI: Okay. I just want to make
15	sure that we don't have that obligation.
16	MR. WEIR: Yeah. We have no
17	obligation. However, it comes such that
18	the bonds are issued by the IDA or the LDC, if
19	we are aware of an event of taxability, we
20	have to deal with it at that point, but the
21	actual filings they do give us, we have no
22	obligation to audit them or to make, you know,
23	unless it rises to the level of that
2 4	taxability, to contact the IRS.
25	MR. GRUCCI: Thanks, Bill.

2	MR. WEIR: You're welcome.
3	MR. BRAUN: Any other questions on the
4	controller's audit?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. BRAUN: I suspect we'll back with
7	you to talk about it again next month or
8	certainly the month after, if not before.
9	Lisa, you got one other item?
10	MS. MULLIGAN: I do. There's one other
11	item on the agenda. This is going back a
12	little ways, but we need to ratify because
13	of the costs that Lori mentioned earlier, we
14	need to ratify the support from New York State
15	EDC, the Cooperstown conference and also the
16	LIBDC Montauk conference, so Fred needs to
17	recuse himself, so and we didn't have
18	enough votes at the last meeting, so we're
19	just ratifying the LDC's role in paying their
20	portion of the
21	(Inaudible comments.)
22	MS. MULLIGAN: Ratify the LDC's portion
23	
	of that support.
24	MR. BRAUN: May I have a motion to that
25	effect?

1 MR. GRUCCI: Did you need to recuse yourself from this, Fred? 4 (Inaudible comments.) 5 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. So Fred is 6 recusing himself. 7 MR. WEIR: He's stepping out of the 8 room. 9 MS. MULLIGAN: Yes. 10 So can I have a motion? MR. GRUCCI: So moved. 11 12 MR. CALLAHAN: So moved. MR. GRUCCI: Second. 13 MS. MULLIGAN: Second? 14 MS. SCHEIDT: Ann-Marie. 15 16 MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you. 17 Fred usually does this part, but, 18 Felix, would you vote? 19 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. 20 MS. MULLIGAN: Gary? MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes. 21

MS. MULLIGAN: Ann-Marie?

MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.

MS. MULLIGAN: Marty?

MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

22

23

24

1 MS. MULLIGAN: Lenore? MS. PAPROCKY: Yes. 3 4 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. It passes. I 5 don't know if Fred usually says that, but . . 6 . Fred, you can come back in. 7 MR. BRAUN: Thank you very much. 8 If there's no other business to be 9 brought before the Local Development 10 Corporation, I'll entertain a motion to 11 adjourn. 12 MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved. MR. BRAUN: Who's the second? 13 MR. GRUCCI: Felix Grucci. 14 15 MR. BRAUN: Thank you, Felix. 16 On the vote, Mr. Callahan? 17 (No response.) 18 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan, you with us? 19 MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 20 MR. BRAUN: On the vote, yes? 21 MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

MR. BRAUN: Ms. Paprocky?

MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?

MS. PAPROCKY: Yes.

MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.

22

23

24

```
1
 2
                  MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky?
 3
                   MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.
 4
                   MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
                  MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
 5
 6
                   MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, we are
 7
           adjourned, it is 9:45.
 8
                   (Time noted: 9:45 a.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
                 I, JOANN O'LOUGHLIN, a Notary Public
15
    for and within the State of New York, do hereby
    certify that the above is a correct transcription
16
    of my stenographic notes.
17
18
19
                               JOANN O'LOUGHLIN
20
21
22
23
24
25
```